Effects of initial shear-layer thickness on turbulent subsonic jets at moderate Reynolds numbers
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Large-Eddy Simulations of isothermal round jets at a Mach number of 0.9 are performed in order to investigate the influence of the nozzle-exit boundary-layer thickness on initially highly disturbed subsonic jets at moderate Reynolds numbers. The jets are originating from a pipe nozzle of radius \( r_0 \), and exhibit, at the exit section, peak disturbance levels of 9 per cent of the jet velocity, and mean velocity profiles similar to laminar boundary-layer profiles of thickness \( \delta = 0.09r_0 \), 0.15\( r_0 \), 0.25\( r_0 \) or 0.42\( r_0 \), yielding momentum thicknesses \( \theta_d(0) \) between 0.012\( r_0 \) and 0.05\( r_0 \). Four jets at a diameter Reynolds number \( Re_D = 5 \times 10^4 \), providing momentum-thickness Reynolds numbers \( Re_\theta = 304, 486, 782 \) and 1288 depending on \( \delta_0 \), are first considered. Four jets at Reynolds numbers \( Re_D = 8.3 \times 10^4, 5 \times 10^4, 3 \times 10^4 \) and \( 1.8 \times 10^4 \), with \( \delta_0 = 0.09r_0, 0.15r_0, 0.25r_0 \) and 0.42\( r_0 \), respectively, giving \( Re_\theta \approx 480 \) in all cases, are then examined. The effects of \( \delta_0/r_0 \) and \( Re_\theta \) on the jet flow and sound fields can thus be distinguished. At a constant \( Re_D \), thickening the initial shear layers mainly results in lower turbulence intensities in the mixing layers and weaker sound levels at all emission angles due to the variations of \( Re_\theta \). Different trends are therefore obtained at a nearly identical \( Re_\theta \). Increasing the ratio \( \delta_0/r_0 \) in this case leads to a shorter potential core, higher centerline velocity fluctuations, and stronger noise in the downstream direction.

I. Introduction

The crucial role of the initial conditions in free shear flows has been well recognized for more than thirty years. The turbulent development and acoustic field of jets have in particular been shown to depend on nozzle-exit parameters such as the Reynolds number, the mean velocity profile, the thickness and the shape factor of the boundary layer, the disturbance level, just to mention a few important ones, as pointed out in the reference papers by Hussain and Crighton. These parameters are moreover likely to vary significantly from one experiment to another. This is notably the case for the initial shear-layer thickness \( \delta_0 \) or momentum thickness \( \theta_d(0) \). In two recent experimental studies on round jets of diameter \( D \) or radius \( r_0 \), for example, Morris & Zaman measured \( \theta_d(0) = 0.0013r_0 \) in a jet at a Mach number \( M = 0.25 \) and at a diameter-based Reynolds number \( Re_D = 3 \times 10^5 \), whereas Arakeri et al. found \( \theta_d(0) \approx 0.04r_0 \) in a jet at \( M = 0.9 \) and \( Re_D = 5 \times 10^5 \).

The thickness of the nozzle-exit boundary layer in jets however appears to follow general trends. In initially laminar jets, it decreases with increasing Reynolds number following a \( 1/Re_D^{1/2} \) proportionality law, which was predicted for instance by Becker & Massaro based on simple boundary-layer concepts, and was verified experimentally by Crow & Champagne, Zaman and Deo, among others. The constant of proportionality obviously depends on the nozzle geometry and contraction ratio. The influence of a constant-diameter tail-pipe attached to the downstream end of the nozzle was for example explored by Hasan & Hussain. Recently, tests were performed using three nozzles of identical exit diameter, differing only in internal profile. The results obtained using the so-called ASME, cubic and conic nozzles have been...
discussed by Viswanathan & Clark, Harper-Bourne and Zaman. In the vicinity of the transition to turbulent upstream conditions, the exit boundary-layer thickness then sharply rises by a factor between 2 and 4 with respect to the initially laminar case. This jump is observed when the flow transition in the nozzle is forced by a tripping device as in Zaman, Bridges & Hussain, Raman et al., or Morris & Zaman, as well as when it occurs naturally as in Zaman. Finally, in initially turbulent jets, according to the latter author, the nozzle-exit boundary-layer thickness decreases only marginally with the Reynolds number.

The effects of the initial shear-layer thickness in jets are unfortunately difficult to investigate experimentally, because it cannot usually be modified independently of the initial turbulence level. Furthermore, experiments are generally performed for jets with a fixed diameter, hence at a constant Reynolds number \( \text{Re}_D \) but at varying momentum-thickness-based Reynolds numbers \( \text{Re}_\theta \) when the value of \( \delta_0 \) is changed and the flow velocity remains the same. This was the case for instance in the work by Hussain & Zedan, which dealt with axisymmetric mixing layers characterized initially by similar peak rms velocities \( u'_e/u_j \approx 6\% \) and different thicknesses yielding Reynolds numbers \( \text{Re}_\theta \) between 184 and 349. The variations with the initial shear-layer thickness \( \delta_0 \) obtained in this way can be expected to result from the modification of both the ratio \( \delta_0/r_0 \) and the value of \( \text{Re}_\theta \), or, in other words, from both geometry and viscosity effects. The influence on linear instability waves of the two parameters above has been investigated theoretically by Michalke and Cohen & Wygnanski for the former, and by Morris for the latter. It was found that increasing \( \delta_0/r_0 \) or \( \text{Re}_\theta \) generally leads to lower growth rates, but does not fundamentally alter the Strouhal number \( S_t \) of maximum amplification, in agreement with the experimental data collected in Gutmark & Ho. Nevertheless, the effects of the Reynolds number \( \text{Re}_\theta \) are well known to be significant on turbulent mixing layers. As \( \text{Re}_\theta \) increases, more fine-scale structures are observed, as clearly shown by the experiments of Brown & Roskko, or by the simulations of Kleinman & Freund. The shear layers also develop more slowly, with lower levels of velocity fluctuations, according to the calculations of Bogey et al.

Given the issues outlined previously, it appears interesting to perform simulations in order to carefully investigate the effects of the initial shear-layer thickness on jets, refer for instance to the papers by Colonius & Lele, Bailly & Bogey and Wang et al. reviewing the rapid progress in computation of jet noise. It can be noted that a number of numerical studies have already been conducted on this matter over the last twelve years. Stanley & Sarkar first examined plane and round jets without including a nozzle in the computational domain, for which hyperbolic-tangent velocity profiles were imposed at the inflow boundary. Kim & Choi later focused on round jets with fully laminar conditions downstream of a pipe nozzle. In the work of the latter authors, jets at a Mach number of 0.9 and at a Reynolds number \( \text{Re}_D = 10^4 \), with an inlet boundary-layer thickness \( \delta_0 = 0.025, 0.05, 0.1 \) or 0.2, were considered. Shorter potential cores and higher centre line turbulence intensities were observed with increasing \( \delta_0 \). In all cases, however, the shear-layer transitions were dominated by vortex rolling-ups and pairings, generating strong noise components.

The objective of the present work is now to investigate, for the first time to the best of our knowledge, the influence of the nozzle-exit boundary-layer thickness on the flow and acoustic fields of initially highly disturbed subsonic round jets. For this, Large-Eddy Simulations based on low-dissipation schemes and relaxation filtering as subgrid model are carried out. The jets are at a Mach number of 0.9, and at moderate Reynolds numbers \( \text{Re}_D \) between 1.8 \( \times \) 10\(^4\) and 8.3 \( \times \) 10\(^4\) to ensure the numerical accuracy of the LES using a 252 million point grid. In the same way as in recent studies, devoted notably to the importance of the initial disturbance level and of the Reynolds number, a trip-like excitation is applied to the jet boundary layers in a pipe nozzle, in order to obtain, at the exit, peak turbulence intensities \( u'_e/u_j = 9\% \), where \( u_j \) is the jet velocity, and mean velocity profiles similar to Blasius laminar profiles. Seven jet simulations are reported here. The first jet has a Reynolds number \( \text{Re}_D = 5 \times 10^4 \) and a boundary-layer thickness \( \delta_0 = 0.15r_0 \), yielding \( \delta_0(0) = 0.019r_0 \) and \( \text{Re}_\theta = 486 \) at the nozzle exit. The next three jets are also at \( \text{Re}_D = 5 \times 10^4 \), but they have thinner or thicker initial shear layers with respect to the first case. More precisely, values \( \delta_0 = 0.09r_0 \), \( 0.25r_0 \) and \( 0.42r_0 \) are chosen, leading to \( \text{Re}_\theta \) around 300, 800 and 1300. The same ratios \( \delta_0/r_0 = 0.09, 0.25 \) and 0.42 are specified in the last three jets. The diameter Reynolds numbers are however set to \( \text{Re}_D = 8.3 \times 10^4, 3 \times 10^4 \) and 1.8 \( \times \) 10\(^4\), respectively, in order to maintain \( \text{Re}_\theta \approx 480 \). We should consequently be able to distinguish between the effects of \( \delta_0/r_0 \) and \( \text{Re}_\theta \) on the jet characteristics.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II, the main parameters of the jets and of the simulations are documented. In section III, the nozzle-exit flow conditions, and the aerodynamic and acoustic fields obtained for the four jets at \( \text{Re}_D = 5 \times 10^4 \) are first presented. The results for the jets at \( \text{Re}_\theta \approx 480 \) are then shown. Finally, concluding remarks are provided in section IV.
II. Parameters

In this section, the jet inflow conditions are first presented. The numerical methods and parameters are then briefly reported. They are identical to those used in recent jet simulations, which have been thoroughly described in previous references.\textsuperscript{24,31–34} The simulation of the jet at \( \text{Re}_D = 5 \times 10^4 \) with a boundary-layer thickness \( \delta_0 = 0.15r_0 \) considered in the present study was moreover performed for the first time and detailed in Bogey \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{24} A great deal of information about the boundary-layer tripping procedure, the discretization quality and the LES reliability is also available in other papers.\textsuperscript{32,33}

A. Jet definition

Seven isothermal round jets at a Mach number \( M = u_j/c_a = 0.9 \) and at Reynolds numbers \( \text{Re}_D = u_jD/\nu \) over the range \( 1.8 \times 10^4 \leq \text{Re}_D \leq 8.3 \times 10^4 \), where \( c_a \) is the ambient speed of sound and \( \nu \) is the kinematic molecular viscosity, are investigated. They originate at \( z = 0 \) from a pipe nozzle of radius \( r_0 \) and length \( 2r_0 \), whose lips are \( 0.053r_0 \) thick. The ambient temperature and pressure are \( T_a = 293 \) K and \( p_a = 10^5 \) Pa. For all jets, an axial velocity profile, corresponding to a Blasius laminar boundary-layer profile of thickness \( \delta_0 \) is imposed at the pipe inlet. Radial and azimuthal velocities are initially set to zero, pressure is set to \( p_a \), and the temperature is determined by a Crocco-Busemann relation.

A trip-like forcing is applied to the jet boundary layers by adding random low-level vortical disturbances decorrelated in the azimuthal direction at \( z = -r_0 \) inside the pipe. The excitation magnitudes are empirically chosen in order to obtain, at the pipe exit, peak turbulence intensities \( \sqrt{u_j'/u_j} \) around 9\% as in the tripped subsonic jets of Zaman\textsuperscript{6,7} and mean velocity profiles in fair agreement with the Blasius laminar profiles introduced at the pipe inlet, which will be illustrated in sections III.A.1 and III.B.1. Pressure fluctuations of maximum amplitude 200 Pa random in both space and time are also added in the shear layers between \( z = 0.25r_0 \) and \( z = 4r_0 \) from \( t = 0 \) up to non-dimensional time \( t = 12.5r_0/u_j \), in order to speed up the initial transitory period.

As shown in tables 1 and 2, the four values of boundary-layer thickness \( \delta_0 = 0.09r_0, 0.15r_0, 0.25r_0 \) and 0.42r\( \tilde{r}_0 \) are considered. They will result in nozzle-exit momentum thicknesses over the range \( 0.012r_0 \leq \delta(0) \leq 0.05r_0 \). The initial shear layers of the jets are therefore relatively thick. This is encountered in some experiments on tripped jets, such as those of Zaman\textsuperscript{6,7} and Arakeri \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{4} in which \( \delta(0) = 0.018r_0 \) and \( \delta(0) \approx 0.04r_0 \) were measured at \( \text{Re}_D \approx 10^5 \) and \( \text{Re}_D = 5 \times 10^5 \), respectively. In a first step, jets at a constant \( \text{Re}_D = 5 \times 10^4 \), hence at momentum-thickness Reynolds numbers \( \text{Re}_\theta = u_j\delta(0)/\nu \) between about 300 and 1300 depending on \( \delta_0/r_0 \), are examined, refer to table 1. In a second step, conversely, the diameter Reynolds number of the jets varies in such a way that \( \text{Re}_\theta \approx 480 \) can be expected in all cases, as indicated in table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>( \text{Re}_D )</th>
<th>( \delta_0/r_0 )</th>
<th>( \text{Re}_\theta )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^4 )</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>( \sim 300 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^4 )</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>( \sim 480 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^4 )</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>( \sim 800 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^4 )</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>( \sim 1300 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Inflow conditions for the jets at \( \text{Re}_\theta \approx 480 \): Mach and Reynolds numbers \( M \) and \( \text{Re}_D \), inlet boundary-layer thickness \( \delta \), and intended Reynolds number \( \text{Re}_\theta \) based on the nozzle-exit boundary-layer momentum thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>( \text{Re}_D )</th>
<th>( \delta_0/r_0 )</th>
<th>( \text{Re}_\theta )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>( 8.3 \times 10^4 )</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>( \sim 480 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^4 )</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>( \sim 480 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>( 3 \times 10^4 )</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>( \sim 480 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>( 1.8 \times 10^4 )</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>( \sim 480 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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B. LES procedure and numerical methods

The LES are carried out using a solver of the three-dimensional filtered compressible Navier-Stokes equations in cylindrical coordinates \((r, \theta, z)\) based on low-dissipation and low-dispersion explicit schemes. The axis singularity is taken into account by the method of Mohseni & Colonius.\(^{35}\) To alleviate the time-step restriction near the cylindrical origin, the derivatives in the azimuthal direction around the axis are calculated at coarser resolutions than permitted by the grid.\(^{36}\) Fourth-order eleven-point centered finite differences are used for spatial discretization, and a second-order six-stage Runge-Kutta algorithm is implemented for time integration.\(^{37}\) A sixth-order eleven-point centered filter\(^{38}\) is applied explicitly to the flow variables every time step. Non-centered finite differences and filters are also used near the pipe walls and the grid boundaries.\(^{31,39}\) The radiation conditions of Tam & Dong\(^{40}\) are finally applied at all boundaries, with the addition at the outflow of a sponge zone combining grid stretching and Laplacian filtering.\(^{41}\)

In the simulations, the explicit filtering is employed to remove grid-to-grid oscillations, but also as a subgrid high-order dissipation model to relax turbulent energy from scales at wave numbers close to the grid cut-off wave number while leaving larger scales mostly unaffected.\(^{42–44}\) With this in mind, the reliability of the LES fields obtained for a jet at \(Re_D = 10^5\) with \(u'_j/u_j = 9\%\) and \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\) using the same grid as in this study has been assessed in Bogey \etal.\(^{32}\) based on the transfer functions associated with molecular viscosity, relaxation filtering and time integration. Viscosity was shown to be the dominant dissipation mechanism for scales discretized at least by seven points per wavelength. The physics of the larger turbulent structures is therefore unlikely to be governed by numerical or subgrid-modeling dissipation, implying in particular that the effective flow Reynolds number should not be artificially decreased. These remarks certainly equally hold for the present simulations dealing with jets at lower Reynolds numbers.

C. Simulation parameters

As reported in table 3, the LES are performed using a grid containing \(n_r \times n_\theta \times n_z = 256 \times 1024 \times 962 = 252\) million points. There are 169 points along the pipe nozzle, 77 points within the jet radius, and 31 points inside the inlet boundary layers. The physical domain, excluding the eighty-point outflow sponge zone, extends axially up to \(L_z = 25r_0\), and radially up to \(L_r = 9r_0\).

Table 3. Simulation parameters: numbers of grid points \(n_r, n_\theta, n_z\), mesh spacings \(\Delta r\) at \(r = r_0\), \(r_0\Delta\theta\), and \(\Delta z\) at \(z = 0\), extents \(L_r, L_z\) of the physical domain, radial position \(r_c\) of the far-field extrapolation surface, and time duration \(T\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(n_r, n_\theta, n_z)</th>
<th>(\Delta r/r_0)</th>
<th>(r_0\Delta\theta/r_0)</th>
<th>(\Delta z/r_0)</th>
<th>(L_r, L_z)</th>
<th>(r_c/r_0)</th>
<th>(T u_j/r_0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>256, 1024, 962</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
<td>0.61%</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
<td>9r_0, 25r_0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mesh spacing is uniform in the azimuthal direction, with \(r_0\Delta\theta = 0.0061r_0\). In the axial direction, the mesh size is minimum between \(z = -r_0\) and \(z = 0\), with \(\Delta z = 0.0072r_0\). It increases upstream of \(z = -r_0\), but also downstream of the nozzle at stretching rates lower than 1\% allowing to reach \(\Delta z = 0.065r_0\) at \(z = 13.5r_0\). In the radial direction, the mesh size is minimum around \(r = r_0\), with \(\Delta r = 0.0036r_0\). The maximum mesh size, obtained for \(r \geq 3r_0\), is equal to \(\Delta r = 0.081r_0\), yielding a Strouhal number of \(St_D = fD/u_j = 6.9\) for an acoustic wave discretized by four points par wavelength, where \(f\) is the time frequency.

The grid quality has been discussed in Bogey \etal.\(^{32}\) for a jet at \(Re_D = 10^5\) with \(u'_j/u_j = 9\%\), \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\) and \(Re_\theta = 900\). The ratios between the integral length scales of the axial fluctuating velocity and the mesh sizes along the lip line were shown to fall between 7 and 10. The properties of the nozzle-exit turbulence and of the shear-layer flow fields were moreover found to be practically grid-converged. Based on these results, there seems little doubt that the grid resolution is appropriate for the present jets with \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\) and \(0.25r_0\), 0.42r_0\). Indeed they display as thick or thicker mixing layers and lower diameter-based Reynolds numbers than the jet mentioned above, both of which points argue in favour of numerical accuracy. The resolution is also probably sufficient for the two jets with thinner initial shear layers with \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\), because of the momentum Reynolds numbers \(Re_\theta \approx 300\) and 480 which are much lower than that of \(Re_\theta \approx 900\) in the reference jet. This should lead, for instance, to a reduction by at least 25\% of the integral length scales according to a recent work.\(^{24}\) Note that the solutions obtained for the four jets at \(Re_\theta \approx 480\) will later confirm the grid quality in the present LES.

The simulation time, given in table 3, is equal to 375r_0/u_j in all cases. Density, velocity components and pressure are recorded from time \(t = 100r_0/u_j\) at every point along the jet axis, and on the two surfaces at
and momentum thickness $\delta$ by Batt, disturbed, or nominally (not fully) turbulent boundary layers. Similar conditions were measured for instance values in table 4. The initial flow conditions in the present jets therefore correspond to those found in highly Table 4. Nozzle-exit conditions for the jets at $Re$ in the mixing layers can consequently be expected between $H$ like the Blasius laminar profiles imposed at the pipe-nozzle inlet. They are characterized by shape factors defined in table 1 are represented in figure 1. In figure 1(a), as intended, the mean velocity profiles look The profiles of mean and rms axial velocities obtained at the nozzle exit for the four jets at $Re$ are first presented. Those for the jets at $Re_0 \simeq 480$ are then shown and compared.

### A. Jets at $Re_D = 5 \times 10^4$

#### 1. Nozzle-exit conditions

The profiles of mean and rms axial velocities obtained at the nozzle exit for the four jets at $Re_D = 5 \times 10^4$ defined in table 1 are represented in figure 1. In figure 1(a), as intended, the mean velocity profiles look like the Blasius laminar profiles imposed at the pipe-nozzle inlet. They are characterized by shape factors between $H = 2.25$ and $H = 2.30$, and by momentum thicknesses $\delta_0(0) = 0.0119r_0$, 0.0191$r_0$, 0.0308$r_0$ and 0.0507$r_0$, yielding Reynolds numbers $Re_0 = 304, 486, 782$ and 1288, as indicated in table 4. The effects of viscosity on the jet mixing layers can consequently be expected to vary significantly with the ratio $\delta_0/r_0$. In figure 1(b), the peak turbulence intensities $u'_c/u_j$ are also observed to be close to 9% in all cases, see exact values in table 4. The initial flow conditions in the present jets therefore correspond to those found in highly disturbed, or nominally (not fully) turbulent boundary layers. Similar conditions were measured for instance by Batt, Hussain & Zedan and Zaman in tripped jets with nozzle-exit parameters $u'_c/u_j \simeq 10\%$ and $Re_0 = 400$, $u'_c/u_j \simeq 6\%$ and $184 \leq Re_0 \leq 349$, and $u'_c/u_j \simeq 9\%$ and $900 \leq Re_0 \leq 2250$, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\delta_0/r_0$</th>
<th>$u'_c/u_j$</th>
<th>$H$</th>
<th>$\delta_0(0)/r_0$</th>
<th>$Re_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>9.14%</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.0119</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9.20%</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.0191</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>9.15%</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.0308</td>
<td>782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>9.12%</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>0.0507</td>
<td>1288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Vorticity and pressure snapshots

As first illustrations of the jet flow and noise characteristics, snapshots of the vorticity norm and fluctuating pressure given by the LES are provided. Vorticity fields obtained up to $z = 8r_0$ in the mixing layers are

D. Far-field extrapolation

The LES near fields are propagated to the acoustic far field by solving the isentropic linearized Euler equations (ILEE) in cylindrical coordinates. The extrapolation is performed from the LES velocity and pressure fluctuations at $r = r_e = 6.5r_0$. These data are interpolated onto a cylindrical surface discretized by an axial mesh spacing of $\Delta z = 0.065r_0$. They are then imposed at the bottom boundary of the grid on which the ILEE are solved using the same numerical methods as in the LES. This grid contains $845 \times 256 \times 1155$ points, and extends axially from $z = -16.6\times r_0$ to $58.2\times r_0$ and radially up to $r = 61.4\times r_0$. The grid spacings are uniform with $\Delta r = \Delta z = 0.065r_0$, yielding $St_D = 8.6$ for an acoustic wave at four points per wavelength. After a propagation time of $t = 60r_0/u_j$, pressure is recorded around the jets at a distance of $60r_0$ from $z = r = 0$, where far-field acoustic conditions are expected to apply according to the experiments of Ahuja et al. during a period of $250r_0/u_j$. Pressure spectra are evaluated using overlapping samples of duration $38r_0/u_j$, and they are averaged in the azimuthal direction.

III. Results

In this section, the main aerodynamic and acoustic results obtained for the jets at $Re_D = 5 \times 10^4$ are first presented. Those for the jets at $Re_0 \simeq 480$ are then shown and compared.

\[ r = r_0 \text{ and } r = r_e = 6.5r_0, \text{ at a sampling frequency allowing the computation of spectra up to a Strouhal number of 20. The velocity spectra are evaluated from overlapping samples of duration } 27r_0/u_j. \text{ The flow statistics are determined from } t = 175r_0/u_j, \text{ and they are averaged in the azimuthal direction. \text{ The simulations have been performed using NEC SX-8 computers, on 7 processors using OpenMP, leading to a CPU speed of around 36 Gflops. Each LES required around 7,000 CPU hours and 60 Gb of memory for 164,000 time steps.} \]
first presented in figure 2. The difference in shear-layer thickness appears clearly in the vicinity of the nozzle exit, but is not obvious farther downstream, typically for \( z \geq 4r_0 \). This may suggest a slower development as the ratio \( \theta_0/r_0 \) rises.

In order to perform relevant comparisons between the mixing-layer vorticity fields, a normalization based on the initial momentum thickness \( \delta_\theta(0) \) is applied. The vorticity snapshots thus obtained up to \( z = 225\delta_\theta(0) \), corresponding to \( z = 2.7r_0, 4.3r_0, 6.9r_0 \) and \( 11.4r_0 \) with increasing \( \delta_\theta \), are shown in figure 3. For the jet with \( \delta_\theta = 0.09r_0 \), large-scale structures resembling the coherent vortical structures revealed by the visualizations of Brown & Roshko\(^{22}\) are well visible in figure 3(a). As the nozzle-exit boundary layers become thicker, such large-scale structures are however more difficult to observe, and small-scale turbulence gradually strengthens. The mixing-layer spreading rate seems also reduced, see for instance in figure 3(d) for the jet with \( \delta_\theta = 0.42r_0 \). These trends are in agreement with those found in the recent work of Bogey \textit{et al.}\(^{24}\) on tripped jets with a fixed \( \delta_\theta = 0.15r_0 \) at Reynolds numbers Re\(_D\) between \( 2.5 \times 10^4 \) and \( 2 \times 10^5 \), and Re\(_\theta\) varying from 256 to 1856. They can therefore be attributed to viscosity effects as Re\(_\theta\) increases with \( \delta_\theta \) in the present jets.

Snapshots of the vorticity norm up to \( z = 20r_0 \) in the jets, and of the near-field pressure fluctuations outside are displayed in figure 4. The jet overall developments are not seen to fundamentally differ. The sound field, on the contrary, changes appreciably with the initial shear-layer thickness in terms of both structure and level. Compare for example figure 4(a) and figure 4(d). In the jet with \( \delta_\theta = 0.09r_0 \), strong acoustic waves are generated in the mixing layers around \( z = 3r_0 \). This is visibly not the case in the jet with \( \delta_\theta = 0.42r_0 \), for which the emitted noise appears much weaker. These observations match those made in our previous LES focusing on the Reynolds number effects in jets.\(^{24}\)

3. **Shear-layer development**

To quantify the influence of the ratio \( \delta_\theta/r_0 \) on the shear layers of the present jets at Re\(_D\) = \( 5 \times 10^4 \), the variations between \( z = 0 \) and \( z = 10r_0 \) of the momentum thickness \( \delta_\theta \) and of the maximum rms values of the axial velocity \( u'_z \) are presented in figure 5. As the nozzle-exit boundary-layer thickness increases, the mixing layers are found to develop more slowly in figure 5(a), resulting in curves of \( \delta_\theta \) crossing one another, hence in smaller shear-layer thickness for larger \( \delta_\theta \) farther downstream. At the same time, reduced turbulence levels are obtained in figure 5(b). The rms axial velocity profiles thus reach peak values of 0.171\( u_j \), for \( \delta_\theta = 0.09r_0 \), 0.164\( u_j \) for \( \delta_\theta = 0.15r_0 \), 0.154\( u_j \) for \( \delta_\theta = 0.25r_0 \), and only 0.148\( u_j \) for \( \delta_\theta = 0.42r_0 \), refer to table 5 for other velocity components. They also show an overshoot around \( z = 1.5r_0 \) and \( z = 3.5r_0 \) for \( \delta_\theta = 0.09r_0 \) and \( \delta_\theta = 0.15r_0 \), respectively, whereas they grow nearly monotonically in the two other cases. The shear-layer transition is therefore smoother in the jets with thicker exit boundary layers.

Using a scaling with the initial shear-layer momentum thickness \( \delta_\theta(0) \), the variations of \( \delta_\theta/\delta_\theta(0) \) and of the maximum rms values of \( u'_z \) are plotted in figures 6(a) and 6(b) as a function of \( z/\delta_\theta(0) \) from \( z = 0 \) up to \( z = 250\delta_\theta(0) \). The profiles clearly indicate that the mixing-layer spreading rates and the turbulence intensities both decrease with increasing \( \delta_\theta \). They are very similar to those obtained in a recent computational study\(^{24}\) for axisymmetric shear layers with initial parameters \( u'_c/u_j \approx 9\% \) and Re\(_\theta\) rising from 256 to 1856.

---

Figure 1. Profiles at \( z = 0 \) (a) of mean axial velocity \( \langle u_z \rangle \) and (b) of the rms values of fluctuating axial velocity \( u'_z \) for the jets at Re\(_D\) = \( 5 \times 10^4 \) with an inlet boundary-layer thickness \( \delta_\theta \), \( \delta_\theta = 0.09r_0 \), \( \delta_\theta = 0.15r_0 \), \( \delta_\theta = 0.25r_0 \), \( \delta_\theta = 0.42r_0 \).
Figure 2. Snapshots in the \((z, r)\) plane of vorticity norm \(|\omega|\) downstream of the nozzle up to \(z = 8r_0\) for the jets at \(Re_D = 5 \times 10^4\) with (a) \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\), (b) \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\), (c) \(\delta_0 = 0.25r_0\), (d) \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\). The color scale ranges up to the level of \(17.5u_j/r_0\), \(15.5u_j/r_0\), \(13.5u_j/r_0\) and \(11.5u_j/r_0\), respectively. Only \(r \geq 0\) is shown.

Figure 3. Snapshots in the \((z, r)\) plane of vorticity norm \(|\omega|\), represented using axes normalized by \(\delta_\theta(0)\), for the jets at \(Re_D = 5 \times 10^4\) (a) \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\), (b) \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\), (c) \(\delta_0 = 0.25r_0\), (d) \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\). The color scale ranges up to the level of \(0.42u_j/\delta_\theta(0)\).

Figure 4. Snapshots in the \((z, r)\) plane of vorticity norm \(|\omega|\) in the flow and of fluctuating pressure \(p - p_{amb}\) outside, for the jets at \(Re_D = 5 \times 10^4\) with (a) \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\), (b) \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\), (c) \(\delta_0 = 0.25r_0\), (d) \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\). The color scales range up to the level of \(5.5u_j/r_0\) for the vorticity, and from \(-70\) to \(70\) Pa for the pressure.
Figure 5. Variations with $z/r_0$ (a) of shear-layer momentum thickness $\delta_\theta$ and (b) of peak rms values of fluctuating axial velocity $u'_z$ for the jets at $Re_D = 5 \times 10^4$ with $\delta_0 = 0.09r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.15r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.25r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.42r_0$.

Table 5. Peak values of turbulence intensities in the jets at $Re_D = 5 \times 10^4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\delta_0/r_0$</th>
<th>$&lt;u_z'^2&gt;^{1/2}/u_j$</th>
<th>$&lt;u_\theta'^2&gt;^{1/2}/u_j$</th>
<th>$&lt;u'<em>z u'</em>\theta&gt;/u_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With Reynolds numbers $Re_\theta$ ranging from 304 for $\delta_0 = 0.09r_0$ up to 1288 for $\delta_0 = 0.42r_0$, the present results are therefore most probably due to Reynolds number effects, as mentioned previously.

Figure 6. Variations with $z/\delta_\theta(0)$ (a) of shear-layer momentum thickness $\delta_\theta/\delta_\theta(0)$ and (b) of peak rms values of velocity $u'_z$ for the jets at $Re_D = 5 \times 10^4$ with $\delta_0 = 0.09r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.15r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.25r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.42r_0$.

4. Jet development

The variations of the mean and rms axial velocities on the jet axis are presented in figure 7. Measurements available for Mach number 0.9 jets at high Reynolds numbers $Re_D \geq 5 \times 10^5$, which can be expected to be initially turbulent, are also depicted for the comparison. Increasing the initial shear-layer thickness appears in figure 7(a) to reduce the potential core length from $z_c = 15.8r_0$ for $\delta_0 = 0.09r_0$ down to $z_c = 13.7r_0$ for $\delta_0 = 0.42r_0$, where $z_c$ is arbitrarily defined by $<u_z>(z = z_c) = 0.95u_j$, as reported in table 6. It may also result in a more rapid velocity decay downstream of the potential core. Regarding the centerline axial turbulence intensities in figure 7(b), they are rather similar with peak values around $0.115u_j$, except for the jet with $\delta_0 = 0.25r_0$ in which the peak value is higher and equal to $0.136u_j$, refer to table 6 for the radial velocity component showing a similar trend. The centerline velocity profiles moreover correspond fairly well
to the measurements, which are certainly obtained for jets with various exit boundary-layer conditions.

Figure 7. Variations (a) of centerline mean axial velocity $u_c$ and (b) of centerline rms values of velocity $u'_c$ for the jets at $Re_D = 5 \times 10^4$ with $\delta_0 = 0.09r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.15r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.25r_0$, and $\delta_0 = 0.42r_0$. Measurements for jets at $M = 0.9$ and $Re_D \geq 5 \times 10^5$: ○ Lau et al., Arakeri et al., Fleury et al.

Table 6. Axial position of the end of the potential core $z_c$, and peak rms values of fluctuating velocities $u'_c$ and $u'_r$ on the axis for the jets at $Re_D = 5 \times 10^4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\delta_0/r_0$</th>
<th>$z_c/r_0$</th>
<th>$&lt;u'_c^2&gt;^{1/2}/u_j$</th>
<th>$&lt;u'_r^2&gt;^{1/2}/u_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Acoustic fields

The main properties of the acoustic fields computed at 60 radii from the nozzle exit using the wave extrapolation method described in section II.D are investigated. The sound pressure levels obtained in this way are first displayed in figure 8. The jets with thicker initial shear layers are found to generate lower noise. The decrease of the sound levels is particularly significant for the jet with $\delta_0 = 0.42r_0$, with a difference of about 2.5 and 3 dB with respect to the jets with $\delta_0 = 0.09r_0$ and $0.15r_0$ at the radiation angles $\phi = 40^\circ$ and $\phi = 90^\circ$, respectively, see in table 7. This leads for this jet to a good agreement with measurements\(^{50-52}\) available for Mach number 0.9 jets at high Reynolds numbers $Re_D \geq 5 \times 10^5$. The present noise reduction is very probably due to the weakening of the large-scales structures, and of their interactions, and to the lowering of the turbulence intensities in the mixing layers. It most likely results from Reynolds number effects\(^{24}\) since the value of $Re_\theta$ increases with $\delta_0$. It is interesting to point out here that increasing the initial shear-layer thickness in jets at a fixed $Re_D$ appears to cause contrary results on the sound field depending on the laminar or turbulent flow state at the nozzle exit. With rising $\delta_0$, more precisely, the noise levels become stronger for fully laminar initial conditions, whereas they get weaker for highly disturbed initial conditions as in this study.

Table 7. Overall sound pressure levels at 60$r_0$ from the nozzle exit at $\phi = 40^\circ$ and $\phi = 90^\circ$ for the jets at $Re_D = 5 \times 10^4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\delta_0/r_0$</th>
<th>$\phi = 40^\circ$</th>
<th>$\phi = 90^\circ$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>116.3 dB</td>
<td>109.2 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>116.6 dB</td>
<td>109.2 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>116.0 dB</td>
<td>108.1 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>114.0 dB</td>
<td>106.3 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pressure spectra calculated for the jets at 60$r_0$ from the nozzle exit at the angles $\phi = 40^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ are
Figure 8. Overall sound pressure levels (OASPL) at 60\(r_0\) from the nozzle exit, as a function of the angle \(\phi\) relative to the jet direction, for the jets at \(Re_D = 5 \times 10^4\) with \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\), \(-\,-\,-\,\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\), \(-\,-\,-\,\delta_0 = 0.25r_0\), \(-\,-\,-\,\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\). Measurements for jets at \(Re_D \geq 5 \times 10^5\): + Mollo-Christensen et al.,50 × Lush,51 ⊲ Bogey et al.52

finally given in figure 9 as a function of Strouhal number \(St_D\), and compared with experimental data for jets at high Reynolds numbers,52,53 As the initial shear-layer thickness of the jets increases, high-frequency noise components are significantly reduced. This is particularly true at \(\phi = 90^\circ\) in figure 9(b), where about 10 dB is for instance observed at \(St_D = 3.2\) between the sound levels of the jets with \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\) and \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\). At this radiation angle, with rising \(\delta_0\), the acoustic levels also decrease at lower frequencies down to \(St_D \approx 0.3\). Similar results can be seen for the angle \(\phi = 40^\circ\) in figure 9(a). In this case, the jet with \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\) clearly generate weaker noise with respect to the other jets down to Strouhal number \(St_D = 0.1\).

Figure 9. Sound pressure levels (SPL) at 60\(r_0\) from the nozzle exit, as a function of \(St_D\), at the angles \(\phi\) of (a) 40\(^\circ\) and (b) 90\(^\circ\) for the jets at \(Re_D = 5 \times 10^4\) with \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\), \(-\,-\,-\,\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\), \(-\,-\,-\,\delta_0 = 0.25r_0\), \(-\,-\,-\,\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\). Measurements for jets at \(Re_D \geq 7.8 \times 10^5\): ⊲ Tanna,53 ⊳ Bogey et al.52

B. Jets at \(Re_\theta \approx 480\)

1. Nozzle-exit conditions

The profiles of mean and rms axial velocities obtained at \(z = 0\) for the jets at \(Re_\theta \approx 480\) defined in table 2 are presented in figures 10(a) and 10(b). They are nearly identical to those shown in figures 1(a) and 1(b), indicating that the nozzle-exit conditions in the jets at \(Re_D = 5 \times 10^4\) and at \(Re_\theta \approx 480\) can be considered as effectively the same except for the Reynolds numbers. As reported in table 8, the exit boundary layers are characterized by shape factors \(2.22 \leq H \leq 2.32\), peak turbulence intensities \(9.12% \leq u'_j/u_j \leq 9.20%\), and momentum thicknesses \(\delta_0(0) = 0.0115r_0, 0.0191r_0, 0.0313r_0\) or 0.0515r_0. The momentum Reynolds numbers in the four jets are consequently very similar, as desired, and lie within the range \(471 \leq Re_\theta \leq 487\). In this way, the differences in mixing-layer properties which will be observed in what follows could not be attributed to Reynolds number effects, but only to the variations of the ratio \(\delta_0/r_0\).
Table 8. Nozzle-exit conditions for the jets at $Re_\theta \approx 480$: peak turbulence intensity $u''/u_j$, shape factor $H$ and momentum thickness $\delta_\theta(0)$ of the boundary layers, and Reynolds number $Re_\theta$ based on $\delta_\theta(0)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\delta_\theta/r_0$</th>
<th>$u''/u_j$</th>
<th>$H$</th>
<th>$\delta_\theta(0)/r_0$</th>
<th>$Re_\theta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>9.13%</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.0115</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9.20%</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.0191</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>9.12%</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.0313</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>9.19%</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.0515</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Vorticity and pressure snapshots

Snapshots of the vorticity norm obtained up to $z = 8r_0$ in the shear layers are displayed in figure 11. Compare, for instance, figure 11(a) for $\delta_\theta = 0.09r_0$ and figure 11(d) for $\delta_\theta = 0.42r_0$. It seems, contrary to what was noted for the jets at $Re_D = 5 \times 10^4$ in figure 2, that mixing layers with smaller thickness at the nozzle exit remain thinner than the others farther downstream, which will be checked later.

As previously, the vorticity fields in the shear layers are also represented using $x$ and $y$-axes normalized by the initial momentum thickness $\delta_\theta(0)$. The four snapshots thus obtained up to $z = 225\delta_\theta(0)$ are shown in figure 12. They are very much alike regardless of $\delta_\theta/r_0$, which is expected given that the Reynolds numbers $Re_\theta$ are nearly identical. There is no notable change in the mixing-layer spreading rate, nor in the distribution between large and small turbulent scales, unlike the findings observed in figure 3 for the jets at $Re_D = 5 \times 10^4$. Large-scale coherent-like vortical structures are in particular observed in all cases.

Snapshots of the vorticity norm up to $z = 20r_0$ in the jets, and of the pressure fluctuations outside, are finally provided in figure 13. With increasing the nozzle-exit boundary-layer thickness, the jet flows appear to develop more rapidly, leading to shorter potential cores, visibly ending around $z = 16r_0$ in figure 13(a) for $\delta_\theta = 0.09r_0$, but only around $z = 10r_0$ in figure 13(d) for $\delta_\theta = 0.42r_0$. The sound pressure fields are also modified. For all jets, strong acoustic waves are emitted in the mixing layers. They are of roughly similar amplitude, but they have distinctly larger wavelengths as the value of $\delta_\theta/r_0$ rises.

3. Shear-layer development

The variations between $z = 0$ and $z = 10r_0$ of the shear-layer momentum thickness $\delta_\theta$ and of the maximum rms values of the axial velocity $u''_z$ are presented in figure 14. The profiles of $\delta_\theta$ are seen not to intersect in figure 14(a), implying that the larger the nozzle-exit boundary-layer thickness, the thicker the mixing layers farther downstream whatever the axial position. The profiles of turbulence intensities also do not appear to differ much in figure 14(b). The levels of axial velocity fluctuations naturally increase more rapidly with larger $\delta_\theta/r_0$, but they reach peak values, typically at $z \approx 1.5r_0$ for $\delta_\theta = 0.09r_0$, $z \approx 3r_0$ for $\delta_\theta = 0.15r_0$, $z \approx 4.5r_0$ for $\delta_\theta = 0.25r_0$, and $z \approx 1.5r_0$ for $\delta_\theta = 0.42r_0$, which are very close. Peak values between $0.16u_j$ and $0.164u_j$ are indeed found, see also in table 9 for the rms values of radial and azimuthal velocities $u'_r$ and $u'_\theta$ and the Reynolds shear stress $u'_r u'_z$. It can further be emphasized that for all jets
Figure 11. Snapshots in the \((z,r)\) plane of vorticity norm \(|\omega|\) downstream of the nozzle up to \(z = 8r_0\) for the jets at \(Re_\theta \simeq 480\) with (a) \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\), (b) \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\), (c) \(\delta_0 = 0.25r_0\), (d) \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\). The color scale ranges up to the level of 17.5\(u_j/r_0\), 15.5\(u_j/r_0\), 13.5\(u_j/r_0\) and 11.5\(u_j/r_0\), respectively. Only \(r \geq 0\) is shown.

Figure 12. Snapshots in the \((z,r)\) plane of vorticity norm \(|\omega|\), represented using axes normalized by \(\delta_\theta(0)\), for the jets at \(Re_\theta \simeq 480\) with (a) \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\), (b) \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\), (c) \(\delta_0 = 0.25r_0\), (d) \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\). The color scale ranges up to the level of 0.42\(u_j/\delta_\theta(0)\).

Figure 13. Snapshots in the \((z,r)\) plane of vorticity norm \(|\omega|\) in the flow and of fluctuating pressure \(p - p_{amb}\) outside, for the jets at \(Re_\theta \simeq 480\) with (a) \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\), (b) \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\), (c) \(\delta_0 = 0.25r_0\), (d) \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\). The color scales range up to the level of 5.5\(u_j/r_0\) for the vorticity, and from \(-70\) to 70 Pa for the pressure.
the turbulence intensity profiles display a hump, although modest, in the developing mixing layers.

![Graph](image)

Figure 14. Variations with $z/r_0$ (a) of shear-layer momentum thickness $\delta_\theta$ and (b) of peak rms values of fluctuating axial velocity $u'_z$ for the jets at $Re_\theta \approx 480$ with $\delta_0 = 0.09r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.15r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.25r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.42r_0$.

Table 9. Peak values of turbulence intensities in the jets at $Re_\theta \approx 480$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\delta_0/r_0$</th>
<th>$&lt;u'^2_z&gt;/u_j$</th>
<th>$&lt;u'^2_g&gt;/u_j$</th>
<th>$&lt;u'^2_r&gt;/u_j$</th>
<th>$&lt;u'_z u'_r&gt;/u_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variations of $\delta_\theta/\delta_\theta(0)$ and of the maximum rms values of $u'_z$ are re-plotted in figures 15(a) and 15(b) as a function of $z/\delta_\theta(0)$ up to $z = 250\delta_\theta(0)$. The profiles obtained for the four jets with varying initial shear-layer thickness nearly superimpose, demonstrating that the flow transition in the present mixing layers at approximately the same $Re_\theta$ does not depend on the ratio $\delta_0/r_0$, contrary to what happened in figure 6 for the jets at a fixed $Re_D$. This expected result moreover suggests grid-convergence of the LES solutions, confirming a posteriori the grid quality, discussed based on a priori arguments in section II.C, for all $\delta_0$ in this study.
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Figure 15. Variations with $z/\delta_\theta(0)$ (a) of shear-layer momentum thickness $\delta_\theta/\delta_\theta(0)$ and (b) of peak rms values of velocity $u'_z$ for the jets at $Re_\theta \approx 480$ with $\delta_0 = 0.09r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.15r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.25r_0$, $\delta_0 = 0.42r_0$.

4. Jet development

The centerline variations of the mean and rms axial velocities are presented in figure 16, together with corresponding measurements\textsuperscript{4, 48, 49} for Mach number 0.9 jets at high Reynolds numbers $Re_D \geq 5 \times 10^5$. Larger initial shear-layer thickness clearly leads to shorter potential core lengths in figure 16(a), decreasing
from \(z_c = 16r_0\) for \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\) down to \(z_c = 11.7r_0\) for \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\) in Table 6. Downstream of the potential core, the mean axial velocity then seems to decay at a higher rate. Significant discrepancies are also observed between the profiles of axial turbulence intensity in Figure 16(b). In particular, they reach peaks of growing amplitude as \(\delta_0\) increases, namely of 11.1% for \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\), 11.4% for \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\), 12.5% for \(\delta_0 = 0.25r_0\), and 13.6% for \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\). A similar tendency is reported for the peak rms values of \(u'\) in Table 10. The jet flow therefore appears to develop more rapidly with stronger velocity fluctuations with thicker nozzle-exit boundary layers.

![Figure 16. Variations (a) of centerline mean axial velocity \(u_c\) and (b) of centerline rms values of velocity \(u'_c\) for the jets at \(Re_\theta \approx 480\) with \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\), \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\), \(\delta_0 = 0.25r_0\), and \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\). Measurements for jets at \(M = 0.9\) and \(Re_D \geq 5 \times 10^5\) (Ref. 48, Arakeri et al., Fleury et al.49).

Table 10. Axial position of the end of the potential core \(z_c\), and peak rms values of fluctuating velocities \(u'_c\) and \(u'_j\) on the axis for the jets at \(Re_\theta \approx 480\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\delta_0/r_0)</th>
<th>(z_c/r_0)</th>
<th>(&lt;u'_c^2&gt;^{1/2}/u_j)</th>
<th>(&lt;u'_j^2&gt;^{1/2}/u_j)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Acoustic fields**

The sound pressure levels calculated at 60\(r_0\) from the nozzle exit for the jets at \(Re_\theta \approx 480\) are depicted in Figure 17. For radiation angles relative to the flow direction higher than \(\phi = 50^\circ\), the acoustic levels do not appear to differ much depending on the initial shear-layer thickness. In addition, no clear trend is observed. At \(\phi = 90^\circ\), for instance, they vary from 108.2 dB for \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\) up to 109.2 dB for \(\delta_0 = 0.15r_0\), as evidenced in Table 11. For smaller angles, however, they are found to unambiguously increase with the ratio \(\delta_0/r_0\), thus yielding, at \(\phi = 40^\circ\), 115.6 dB for \(\delta_0 = 0.09r_0\) but 117.2 dB for \(\delta_0 = 0.42r_0\), see also in Table 11. This result can be related to the strengthening of centerline velocity fluctuations previously noticed for larger \(\delta_0/r_0\). Moreover, with respect to experimental data50–52 for jets at Mach number 0.9 and at diameter-based Reynolds numbers \(Re_D \geq 5 \times 10^5\), the sound levels are higher by about 3 dB, which is probably due to the presence and interactions of large-scale structures in the mixing layers of the present jets at low Reynolds numbers \(Re_\theta\).

The pressure spectra evaluated at 60\(r_0\) from the nozzle exit at the angles \(\phi = 40^\circ\) and \(90^\circ\) are shown as a function of Strouhal number \(St_D\), and compared to measurements for high-Reynolds-number jets52,54 in Figure 18. As for the jets at \(Re_D = 5 \times 10^4\) in Figure 9, the magnitude of high-frequency components at \(St_D \geq 2\) decreases as the nozzle-exit boundary-layer thickness increases. In this case, however, low-frequency noise does not appear to be reduced. Acoustic components below \(St_D = 0.8\), on the contrary, become stronger. This change is relatively moderate at \(\phi = 90^\circ\) in Figure 18(b), but is more marked at \(\phi = 40^\circ\) in Figure 18(a). One reason for that may be the higher turbulence intensities obtained at the end of the potential core with rising \(\delta_0/r_0\), leading probably to a stronger noise radiation in the downstream direction.31,34,54–57
Figure 17. Overall sound pressure levels (OASPL) at 60r₀ from the nozzle exit, as a function of the angle φ, for the jets at Reθ ≃ 480 with δ₀ = 0.09r₀, δ₀ = 0.15r₀, δ₀ = 0.25r₀, and δ₀ = 0.42r₀. Measurements for jets at ReD ≥ 5 × 10⁵: + Mollo-Christensen et al., 50 × Lush, 51 ⊲ Bogey et al. 52

Table 11. Overall sound pressure levels at 60r₀ from the nozzle exit at φ = 40° and φ = 90° for the jets at Reθ ≃ 480.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>δ₀/r₀</th>
<th>φ = 40°</th>
<th>φ = 90°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>115.6 dB</td>
<td>108.7 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>116.6 dB</td>
<td>109.2 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>116.8 dB</td>
<td>108.9 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>117.2 dB</td>
<td>108.2 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another reason may be the modification of the frequencies of the waves generated in the mixing layers, which is clearly visible in the pressure fields of figure 13. Based on previous work, these components can furthermore be expected to be roughly centered around half the Strouhal number Stₜ = fδ₀(0)/u_j ≃ 0.013, giving StD = 1.13, 0.68, 0.42 and 0.25 for the jets with δ₀ = 0.09r₀, 0.15r₀, 0.25r₀ and 0.42r₀, respectively.

Figure 18. Sound pressure levels (SPL) at 60r₀ from the nozzle exit, as a function of StD, at the angles φ of (a) 40° and (b) 90° for the jets at Reθ ≃ 480 with δ₀ = 0.09r₀, δ₀ = 0.15r₀, δ₀ = 0.25r₀, and δ₀ = 0.42r₀. Measurements for jets at ReD ≥ 7.8 × 10⁵: ⊲ Tanna, 53 ⊲ Bogey et al. 52

IV. Summary and conclusion

In this paper, the influence of the nozzle-exit boundary-layer thickness on initially highly disturbed subsonic jets has been investigated for inlet boundary-layer thicknesses 0.09r₀ ≤ δ₀ ≤ 0.42r₀, diameter Reynolds numbers 1.8 × 10⁴ ≤ ReD ≤ 8.3 × 10⁴ and momentum-thickness Reynolds numbers 304 ≤ Reθ ≤ 1288. Jets at a constant ReD = 5 × 10⁴ as well as jets at nearly identical Reθ ≃ 480 have been considered, in order to distinguish between the effects of δ₀/r₀ and Reθ. In that sense, this work is a direct continuation of and a complement to a recent study dealing with the sensitivity to Reynolds number of tripped jets with a fixed δ₀ = 0.15r₀ but at Reynolds numbers 2.5 × 10⁴ ≤ ReD ≤ 2 × 10⁵, and consequently 256 ≤ Reθ ≤ 1856.
The main findings are summarized below, and illustrated in figures 19 and 20 using solid lines for the jets at \( \text{Re}_D = 5 \times 10^4 \) and dashed lines for the jets at \( \text{Re}_\theta \approx 480 \). In figure 19(a), increasing the initial shear-layer thickness appears to shorten the jet potential core, but in jets at a constant diameter Reynolds number \( \text{Re}_D \), this tendency may be countered by the effects of the Reynolds number \( \text{Re}_\theta \). Concerning the turbulence intensities, in figure 19(b), their peak values in the mixing layers (black lines) are seen to depend essentially on and to decrease with \( \text{Re}_\theta \), whereas those found on the jet axis (grey lines) increase appreciably with the ratio \( \delta_0/r_0 \) for \( \delta_0/r_0 \geq 0.15 \). As a result, the far-field pressure levels are likely to significantly vary, as shown in figure 20(a) and 20(b) for the angles \( \phi = 40^\circ \) and \( 90^\circ \). Thickening the nozzle-exit boundary layers for a fixed jet diameter, as in most experiments, generally leads to weaker sound levels at all radiation angles because of the corresponding increase of the Reynolds number \( \text{Re}_\theta \). In the case that the latter parameter does not vary much, however, rising \( \delta_0/r_0 \) has a little impact on noise levels, except for a moderate strengthening in the downstream direction.

To briefly conclude, this work demonstrates the importance of the initial shear-layer thickness in subsonic jets with disturbed upstream flow conditions, in terms of both geometry and viscosity effects, induced by the variations of the ratio \( \delta_0/r_0 \) and of the momentum-thickness Reynolds number \( \text{Re}_\theta \), respectively. These effects can reinforce or counteract each other, which might render difficult any \( \text{a priori} \) prediction on flow and sound fields. Viscosity effects can nevertheless be expected to dominate in jets at low and moderate Reynolds numbers, but to be rather small in jets at high Reynolds numbers, typically above a threshold value of about \( \text{Re}_\theta = 1000 \), which should be the case in full-scale industrial jets, but not necessarily in model-scale university jets.
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