A strategy to eliminate all nonlinear effects in constant-voltage hot-wire anemometry
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A constant-voltage anemometer is subject to nonlinear effects when the operating hot wire is exposed to large velocity fluctuations in the incident flow. This results in the generation of undesirable higher harmonics, just as in the two classic systems, constant-current and constant-temperature anemometers, for which no attempts are normally made to correct the nonlinearities. The present investigation shows that these undesirable higher harmonics can be suppressed in the case of a constant-voltage anemometer. A new approach to process experimental data is proposed. It is based on three explicit equations established and solved with all terms included, i.e., without linearization. These are (1) the first-order differential equation that describes the electronic circuit of a constant-voltage anemometer—this equation permits to deduce the instantaneous resistance of the hot wire from the output voltage of the anemometer; (2) the first-order differential equation that expresses the thermal lag behavior of the hot wire when used in a constant-voltage mode—this equation permits to restore the instantaneous resistance that an ideal wire would have without thermal inertia in the same flow conditions; and (3) the algebraic relation that expresses the heat-transfer law of an ideal wire, according to King’s law, a look-up table, or a polynomial fit—this relation permits to deduce the instantaneous flow velocity from the instantaneous resistance of the ideal wire. The proposed method is easily implemented on a personal computer and permits odd turbulence moments, such as skewness factors, to be obtained satisfactorily. © 2009 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.3103948]

I. INTRODUCTION

The effects of large-amplitude fluctuations in hot-wire anemometry have been investigated in the past for the most common systems, namely, the constant-current anemometer (CCA) and the constant-temperature anemometer (CTA). However, these effects have been left aside since then, although advanced data acquisition and processing systems could have been of great help to correct the nonlinearities.

A hot wire experiences thermal inertia, i.e., it does not respond to a change in excitation instantaneously but with some thermal lag. The wire operated in a CCA behaves as a first-order system. For small-amplitude fluctuations, the linearized governing equation of the CCA shows that thermal inertia attenuates the output signal and introduces a phase lag. Therefore, in commercial and homemade CCAs, thermal inertia is corrected by amplifying the ac part of the output signal with a gain and a phase lead increasing with frequency. Nevertheless, such correction is inaccurate for high-amplitude velocity fluctuations. Indeed, Corrsin1 demonstrated that because the exact differential equation governing the response of the wire is of first order with time-dependent coefficients, the system experiences parametric excitation and higher harmonics are generated in the output signal. The linear correction procedure compensates for these harmonics as if they were turbulence. Resulting errors were analyzed by Corrsin1 using Fourier series and Comte-Bellot and Schon2 using an analog computer. Although they have a low energy content, these higher harmonics affect odd moments, especially the third moment, also called skewness factor, because of cross products between the fundamental and the harmonic contributions, e.g., for sinusoidal perturbations around 25%, a skewness factor around −0.30 is measured instead of zero.

Similar behavior affects the response of CTAs. It is commonly assumed that the instantaneous resistance of the wire in CTA is constant, provided that the frequency response of the system is sufficiently high. The usual practice is to digitize the bridge output and directly convert the instantaneous voltage output into instantaneous velocity using calibration curves. However, Freymuth3,4 demonstrated that as with any other hot-wire anemometer, the CTA experiences thermal inertia. The response of the wire is governed by a third-order differential equation with time-dependent coefficients deriving from the coupling between the heat-transfer law of the wire, the bridge circuit, and the properties of the feedback amplifier. For large-amplitude velocity fluctuations, Freymuth showed that at least a second harmonic is generated at the bridge output. This harmonic is expected to be maximum around half of the cutoff frequency of a typical system with an amplitude relative to the fundamental around 10%.4 As a consequence, if the uncorrected CTA output, which includes this second harmonic, is directly converted into velocity using the calibration curves of the CTA, the
resulting velocity signal will also contain the contribution of the second harmonic, which is erroneous. For instance, in their study of the kinetic energy budget of turbulence in a high-Reynolds number jet, a budget that involves third-order moments and other cross products, Hussein et al.\textsuperscript{5} reported discrepancies between the results obtained with a fixed hot wire and those obtained with a flying hot wire and laser-Doppler anemometry. It is most likely that these discrepancies resulted from high turbulence levels experienced by the fixed hot wire when close to the free edges of the jet.

Furthermore, the bandwidth of the CTA is not constant. The coefficients of the governing equation of the CTA are usually tuned using a square-wave test so as to achieve an optimal response for a given operating point.\textsuperscript{6} Away from this operating point, the bridge is unbalanced, which affects the frequency response of the system and enhances nonlinearities. In flows with large-amplitude velocity fluctuations, this generates dynamic errors and may even lead to unstable operation of the CTA. Ligeza\textsuperscript{7} proposed a modified CTA with a constant bandwidth. However, for commercial CTAs, the governing equation being rather complicated, with coefficients that are not always accurately known, a software procedure for correcting thermal inertia would not be straightforward.

For the constant-voltage anemometer (CVA) recently patented by Sarma,\textsuperscript{8} Comte-Bellot\textsuperscript{9,10} showed that the wire thermal lag also leads to a differential equation exhibiting parametric excitation, but, with the exception of one preliminary study,\textsuperscript{11} no explicit treatment has been undertaken. So far, studies have focused on small-amplitude fluctuations and have pointed out several interesting features of the CVA. For example, using the software correction of thermal inertia developed by Sarma et al.,\textsuperscript{12} the CVA bandwidth remains constant, and experiments in difficult conditions, such as in supersonic boundary layers,\textsuperscript{13} shock waves,\textsuperscript{14} or flight tests,\textsuperscript{15} are facilitated. However, these investigations were restricted to the study of turbulence levels, spectra, and double correlations. If one desires to extend the use of the CVA toward the investigation of higher moments, such as those of the third order, which express the convection of turbulent kinetic energy by turbulent fluctuations, the linear approach is obviously not sufficient as cross products between fundamental and harmonics may lead to systematic errors.

In the present work, all the equations of the CVA are revisited. The first objective is to analyze the different sources of nonlinearity. The second and more important objective is to develop a strategy to process experimental data so as to eliminate all nonlinear effects.

The paper is organized as follows. The full basic equations that govern the wire response and the CVA circuit are presented in Sec. II and their linearized forms are summarized in Sec. III. The nonlinearities that appear along the entire path, from the input velocity to the CVA output voltage, are analyzed in Sec. IV for sinusoidal velocity perturbations and realistic turbulent velocities provided by large eddy simulation in a high-speed jet. In Section VIII, some conclusions regarding the easy implementation of the present strategy are listed.

II. BASIC EQUATIONS OF A CVA

Three equations are to be considered to describe all nonlinear effects. They deal with the CVA circuit, the thermal inertia of the wire, and the calibration law.

A. CVA circuit

The transfer function that relates the resistance $R_w$ of the real hot wire to the output voltage $E_{Tc}$ of the CVA has been established by Sarma\textsuperscript{8} and by Comte-Bellot et al.\textsuperscript{16} who included the resistance $r_L$ of the cable that connects the hot wire to the anemometer. With the notations indicated in Fig. I for the CVA circuit, this basic equation is

$$E_{Tc} = V_w\left[1 + \frac{R_2}{R_F} + \frac{R_2}{R_w + r_L} + T_c \frac{d}{dt} \left(1 + \frac{1}{R_w + r_L}\right)\right]. \tag{1}$$

The notation $E_{Tc}$ indicates that the CVA output voltage corresponds to a selected value of the time constant $T_c$, in the hardware compensation circuit of the CVA, where $T_c = \frac{R_2 R_{2b}}{R_2} C$.

$T_c$ is adjusted by capacitance $C$ and resistances $R_{2a}$ and $R_{2b}$ with $R_{2a} + R_{2b} = R_2$. $T_c$ is set to provide a partial compensation to the thermal inertia of the hot wire. An important feature of this procedure is that a constant bandwidth is obtained\textsuperscript{12} when $T_c$ is unchanged during the tests. Often $T_c$ is set to 100 $\mu$s. It is also worth adding that $E_{Tc}$ is large because most of the current heating the wire flows through resistance $R_2$, which is itself large, $R_2 = 100$ $\Omega$. The voltage across the wire and its lead, $V_w = (R_w + r_L)V_w$, is maintained constant independently by the upper branch of the circuit in Fig. I since $V_w = V_1R_1/R_F$ with $R_1 = 100$ $\Omega$ and $R_F = 400$ $\Omega$.

In Eq. (1), note that the inverse of the wire resistance ($1/R_w$) appears. This may constitute a source of nonlinearity that has to be considered at large-amplitude changes.
B. Hot-wire thermal inertia

The comparison between the resistance $R_w$ of a real hot wire with thermal lag and the resistance $R_w^*$ of an ideal hot wire without thermal lag has been established by Comte-Bellot,\textsuperscript{9} neglecting the cable connecting the hot-wire probe to the anemometer, and by Comte-Bellot et al.\textsuperscript{16} when the cable is taken into account with its resistance $r_L$. With the following condition imposed by the CVA,

$$V_w = (R_w + r_L)I_w = (R_w^* + r_L)I_w = \text{a constant},$$

the comparison makes use of two basic heat-transfer balances

$$0 = V_w^2 \frac{R_w^*}{(R_w^* + r_L)^2} - (R_w^* - R_a)f(U),$$

and

$$\frac{m_w c_w dR_w}{\chi R_0} dt = V_w^2 \frac{R_w}{(R_w + r_L)^2} - (R_w - R_a)f(U).$$

Equation (3) is for an ideal wire without thermal lag. At any time, the Joule energy in the wire is balanced by the energy lost into the flow by forced convection. Equation (4) is for a real wire in which the difference between the energy due to Joule heating and the energy lost by forced convection is stored in the wire and creates a thermal lag in the transmitted signal. $f(U)$ is a function of the fluid velocity $U$ normal to the wires, e.g., $f(U) = A + B \sqrt{U}$ in King’s law, and heat loss toward the supports is neglected.

Eliminating $f(U)$ between Eqs. (3) and (4) gives

$$\frac{1}{V_w^2} \frac{m_w c_w dR_w}{\chi R_0} dt = \frac{R_w}{(R_w + r_L)^2} - \frac{R_w^*}{(R_w^* + r_L)^2} \frac{R_w - R_a}{R_w^* - R_a}.$$

This equation has several coefficients that are time dependent and parametric excitation affects its solution. Therefore, assuming a single-frequency excitation of $R_w^*$, higher harmonics may appear in $R_w$, and their consequences on further signal processing have to be considered.

C. Heat-transfer law

Among the many heat-transfer laws available in the literature to relate the resistance $R_w^*$ of an ideal wire to the incident flow velocity $U$, King’s law is of common use in subsonic flows, and Dewey’s approach using Nusselt and Reynolds numbers is most appropriate to supersonic flows.\textsuperscript{10} The canonical form of King’s law is

$$\frac{R_w^*}{R_w} = A + B \sqrt{U},$$

with $A$ and $B$ approximately constant.\textsuperscript{10} Clearly $R_w^*$ is not linearly related to $U$. This is well known, but let us stress that any wire has these harmonics embedded in the signal it delivers.

III. LINEARIZED APPROXIMATE EQUATIONS

The linearization of Eqs. (1), (5), and (6) provides useful hints for the understanding of the CVA and will serve as reference for the developments made in Sec. V. Splitting every instantaneous quantity $U = \bar{U} + u'$ with $u' \ll \bar{U},$

$$R_w^* = \bar{R}_w^* + r_w^*$$

$R_w = \bar{R}_w + r_w$ with $r_w^* \ll \bar{R}_w$,

$$E_T = \bar{E}_T + e_T'$$

and substituting into Eqs. (1), (5), and (6) give

$$e_T' = - V_w \frac{R_w}{(R_w + r_L)^2} \frac{r_w + T_c d r_w'}{dt},$$

$$M_w \frac{d r_w'}{dt} + r_w' = r_w^*,$$

$$\frac{r_w^*}{\bar{R}_w^*} = - \frac{\bar{a}_w}{2(1 + \bar{a}_w)} \frac{B \sqrt{\bar{U}}}{A + B \sqrt{\bar{U}}} u'.$$

Although limited to small-amplitude perturbations, this linear approach exhibits two interesting features of the CVA:

(i) The coefficient of $d r_w'/dt$ in Eq. (8) is the time constant $M_w^{CVA}$ of the wire when $V_w = \text{a constant}$. According to the linear approach,\textsuperscript{16} its expression is

$$M_w^{CVA} = \frac{1}{V_w^2} \frac{m_w c_w}{\chi R_0} (\bar{R}_w + r_L)^2 \frac{\bar{a}_w}{1 + 2 \bar{a}_w} \times LM.$$

The factor $LM$ comes from the connecting cable

$$LM = \left[1 + \frac{r_L}{R_d(1 + \bar{a}_w)}\right]^{-1} \left[1 + \frac{R_L}{R_d(1 + \bar{a}_w)(1 + 2 \bar{a}_w)}\right],$$

for example, $LM = 1.1$ when $R_d = 3.8 \\Omega$, $\bar{a}_w = 0.80$, and $r_L = 1 \\Omega$.

(ii) The comparison between Eqs. (8) and (7) clearly shows that the output signal $e_T'$ is already partly corrected by $T_c$ for the thermal lag.

IV. ANALYSIS OF NONLINEARITIES

Nonlinearities occur along the whole path from the incident velocity $U$ to the CVA output voltage $E_T$ by the cumulative effect of Eqs. (6), (5), and (1) taken in this order, as shown below,

$$U \Rightarrow R_w^* \Rightarrow R_w \Rightarrow E_T.$$
this $V_w$ value is kept constant by the CVA circuit when $U$ varies. The excitation frequency $f_e$ is adjustable in the range of 100–5000 Hz, which, in terms of $2\pi f_e M_w^{CVA}$, covers a significant range from 0.13 to 6.40. Other values of interest are $\chi = 0.0045$ K$^{-1}$ and $c_w = 140$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. All equations are solved using MATLAB 7.4. Time derivatives are approached by a first-order upwind finite difference scheme. The sampling frequency is $f_s = 100 f_e$. In all data sets, the first five samples are suppressed to remove the transient effect of initial conditions when solving the differential equations.

The harmonic contents of the transmitted signals are displayed in Fig. 2 at each step of the path for a sinusoidal velocity input at $f_s = 2000$ Hz and a turbulence level of 25%.

The most significant of these triple cross products is of the form $3 \times \alpha_1^2 \sin^2(2\pi f_e t + \phi_1) \times \alpha_2 \sin(4\pi f_e t + \phi_2)$ between a fundamental and its second harmonic with amplitudes $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ and phases $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$, respectively.

The skewness factors obtained at each step along the path $U \Rightarrow E_{T_C}$ are indicated in Table I for sinusoidal velocity inputs at different frequencies $f_e$ and a fixed turbulence level of 25%. The skewness factor of $U$, which is zero, constitutes a reference. The skewness of $R_w^*$ has a positive and constant value. It is due to the curvature of the heat transfer law, as simply observed with King’s law, where the positive excursions of $R_w^*$ are greater than the negative ones for a symmetrical velocity change around $U_0$. Of course, a hot wire transmits such a highly asymmetric signal. The skewness values of $R_w^*$, as well as those of $E_{T_C}$, show considerable spread. Some values are even illogical such as those at low frequency where nonlinear effects are expected to be minimal. Furthermore, for the skewness of $E_{T_C}$, a zero value is never obtained. This shows that the signal delivered straight from an anemometer cannot be used to obtain a skewness factor directly on the grounds that it is a dimensionless quantity. Again, this highlights the fact that the harmonics created at each step mix and interact in a complex manner. A well-planned procedure is clearly needed to process the CVA output $E_{T_C}$ to fully recover a correct input velocity.

**V. PREVIOUS METHOD FOR DATA PROCESSING**

The method previously used for correcting the thermal inertia of the CVA is mostly based on the linearized expressions presented in Sec. III. The output voltage $E_{T_C}$ is split into its dc and ac parts and the main steps of the processing are indicated in Fig. 3. Subscript $T_C$ is for a signal compensated with $T_C$, subscript $M$ is for a signal fully compensated with the measured $M_w^{CVA}$. For the dc part, the linearization gives $E_{T_C} = E_M$, simply noted as $\bar{E}$. The ac part is specifically processed by a software procedure. The software procedure

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**FIG. 2.** Harmonic contents of signals along the path $U \Rightarrow E_{T_C}$ for a sinusoidal velocity input, 25% turbulence level. For the sake of readability, all the quantities are normalized by their amplitude at fundamental frequency and their spectra are shifted by a few hertz so that they do not overlap.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**FIG. 3.** Diagram of the previous method for data processing.

**TABLE I. Skewness factors along the path $U \Rightarrow E_{T_C}$ for a sinusoidal velocity input, 25% turbulence level.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency $f_e$ (Hz)</th>
<th>$2\pi f_e M_w^{CVA}$</th>
<th>Skewness $U$</th>
<th>Skewness $R_w^*$</th>
<th>Skewness $R_w$</th>
<th>Skewness $E_{T_C}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2416</td>
<td>0.2298</td>
<td>−0.1796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2416</td>
<td>0.1899</td>
<td>−0.1481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2416</td>
<td>0.1359</td>
<td>−0.1102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2416</td>
<td>0.0860</td>
<td>−0.0807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2416</td>
<td>0.0321</td>
<td>−0.0905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2416</td>
<td>0.0196</td>
<td>−0.0969</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
completes the compensation of the thermal lag from $T_a$ to the
time constant of the wire $M_w^{CVA}(L/M)^{-1}$. Obtaining $e'_M$ from
$e'_T$ involves a numerical loop simply expressed in terms of a
s-Laplace transform by
\[
e'_M = e'_T \frac{1 + M_w^{CVA}LM^{-1}s}{1 + T_a s}.
\]

The method is detailed by Sarma et al.\textsuperscript{12} or Comte-Bellot
and Sarma.\textsuperscript{13} $M_w^{CVA}$ is readily measured using a square-wave
signal and a special circuit developed by Sarma and Lankes.\textsuperscript{17} The major advantage of a software correction is
that all experimental data may be acquired using $T_a$ without
the need to adjust the $R-C$ circuit at every test point. Data
acquisition is therefore fast. Moreover, the bandwidth of the
CVA is kept constant.\textsuperscript{12}

Two variants were applied to this fully corrected $e'_M$ signal.
The first variant relies on a sensitivity coefficient, usually
noted as $S_u^{CVA}$ and derived from Eq. (9). A coefficient $LS$ is
also required to take into account resistances $R_2$ and $R_F$ for
the CVA circuit and resistance $r_L$ for the connecting cable.\textsuperscript{16}
This gives
\[
S_u^{CVA} = \frac{\bar{\tilde{a}}_w B\sqrt{\bar{U}}}{2(1+2\bar{a}_w) A + B\sqrt{\bar{U}}} \times LS,
\]
with
\[
LS = \left[1 + \left(\frac{R_a}{R_2} + \frac{R_a}{R_F}\right) \left(1 + \bar{a}_w + \frac{r_L}{R_a}\right)^{-1}\right.
\times \left[1 + \frac{r_L R_a}{R_a(1+\bar{a}_w)(1+2\bar{a}_w)}\right]^{-1}.
\]

A velocity fluctuation $u'$, hereafter denoted by a double hat,
is then deduced from $e'_M$, $\bar{\tilde{E}}$, $S_u^{CVA}$, and $\bar{U}$,
\[
\frac{\ddot{u}_T}{U} = [S_u^{CVA}]^{-1}LS e'_M.
\]

For example, $S_u^{CVA} = 0.15$, $LS = 0.95$ for $R_a = 3.8$ $\Omega$, $\bar{a}_w = 0.80$, and $r_L = 1$ $\Omega$. This method is generally adopted for
supersonic flows for which fluid mechanics equations are
often linearized to permit velocity, density, and temperature
fluctuations to be considered jointly.\textsuperscript{18-20} Also, investigations
focus on turbulence levels, spectra, and double correlations.

The second variant makes use of a reconstructed dc
+ac signal, $\bar{\tilde{E}}_M = \bar{\tilde{E}} + e'_M$, hereafter denoted by a single hat.
Then, $\bar{\tilde{R}}_w$ is obtained from $\bar{\tilde{E}}_M$ and introduced into a heat
transfer law, such as King’s law, to obtain the velocity $\bar{U}$. In
fact, this latter variant has been developed by Sarma and
Comte-Bellot\textsuperscript{21} to take into account drifts in the incident
flow temperature $T_a$ using one wire only, since $V_w$ can be
externally changed rapidly in a CVA, thus operating the wire in
heated and cold resistance modes.

Table II indicates the skewness factors obtained by these
two variants for a sinusoidal velocity input, 25% turbulence
level, and different frequencies $f_e$. Clearly, the skewness of $\ddot{u}$ suffers from the same drawbacks as the skewness of $E_M$,
since the sensitivity coefficient imposes a linear connection

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Comparison of the two variants used in previous data processing
for a sinusoidal velocity input, 25% turbulence level.}
\begin{tabular}{cccccc}
\hline
\noalign{\smallskip}
$f_e$ & $\bar{\tilde{E}}_T$ & $\bar{\tilde{E}}_M$ & $\tilde{u}$ & $\bar{U}$ \\
\hline
\noalign{\smallskip}
0.13 & $-0.1796$ & $-0.1833$ & $-0.1833$ & 0.0173 \\
0.32 & $-0.1481$ & $-0.1612$ & $-0.1612$ & 0.0363 \\
0.64 & $-0.1102$ & $-0.1279$ & $-0.1279$ & 0.0661 \\
1.28 & $-0.0807$ & $-0.1020$ & $-0.1020$ & 0.0873 \\
3.84 & $-0.0905$ & $-0.0999$ & $-0.0999$ & 0.0848 \\
6.40 & $-0.0969$ & $-0.1012$ & $-0.1012$ & 0.0829 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

between the two signals. The skewness of $\bar{U}$ has a correct
trend with frequency $f_e$, i.e., its values tend toward zero
when $f_e$ decreases. This is made possible because the higher
harmonics inherent in the heat-transfer law, as seen by the
wire, are taken into account by the use of the same curve
during data processing rather than its tangent at the operating
point. However, at high frequencies, the zero value expected
for a sinusoidal signal is not obtained and a value of around
0.08 remains. Regarding amplitude levels, very satisfying
results are obtained regardless of the variant used, errors being
less than 1%.

\section{VI. PRESENT METHOD FOR DATA PROCESSING}

In the present method, the path responsible for harmonic
generation is exactly inverted, as depicted in Fig. 4. Note that
contrary to the previous method described in Sec. V, which
requires that the dc and ac parts of the signal be split, the
following procedure is applied directly to the total voltage
output of the CVA and gives the total velocity. In order to
simulate an experimental data set and to test the robustness
of the approach, a voltage output $\bar{\tilde{E}}_T$ is constructed by adding
a white noise of amplitude 1 mV rms to the $\bar{\tilde{E}}_T$ signal
obtained in Sec. IV. Variables simulating an experimental
data set are denoted hereafter by a tilde.

The instantaneous resistance of the real wire $\bar{\tilde{R}}_w$ is computed
from the measured voltage output $\bar{\tilde{E}}_T$, using Eq. (1) for
the electronic circuit of the CVA. Then, the thermal inertia of the wire is accounted for by Eq. (5) and the instantaneous
resistance of the ideal wire $\bar{\tilde{R}}_w$ is deduced from the resistance

\begin{equation}
\bar{\tilde{E}}_T \downarrow \text{inversion Eq. (1)} \downarrow \bar{\tilde{R}}_w \downarrow \text{inversion Eq. (5)} \downarrow \bar{\tilde{R}}_w \downarrow \text{inversion Eq. (6)} \downarrow \bar{\tilde{U}}
\end{equation}

FIG. 4. Diagram of the present method for data processing.
of the real wire \( \bar{R}_w \). Finally, the instantaneous flow velocity \( \hat{U} \) is obtained from Eq. (6) using a heat transfer law or a calibration curve.

In the new procedure, only one factor \( m_w c_w/\chi R_0 \) is required in Eq. (5). This factor is a constant and it is advantageously deduced from the time constant \( m_w^{CVA} \), which is usually measured. Indeed, Eq. (10) leads to

\[
\frac{m_w c_w}{\chi R_0} = V_w \frac{R^{CVA}_w}{LM} \frac{1}{(\bar{R}_w + r_L)^2} \frac{1 + 2 \bar{a}_w}{\bar{a}_w}. \tag{14}
\]

Hence, altogether, the wire diameter, its length, as well as \( \chi \) and \( c_w \) do not have to be known separately. As an example, using the wire characteristics and operating parameters given in Sec. IV, the factor \( m_w c_w/\chi R_0 \) is \( 0.39 \times 10^{-5} \) s A². Moreover, an accurate measurement of \( m_w^{CVA} \) is possible in any nonturbulent flow prior to systematic turbulence investigations.

The calibration is done as usual in a very low turbulence flow such as the potential core of a jet or the free stream of a boundary layer. As \( T_c \) has no effect in that situation, the CVA output is that of an ideal wire. Hence, from Eq. (1),

\[
E_{T_c}^* = V_w \left[ 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_F} + \frac{R_2}{R_w + r_L} \right], \tag{15}
\]

or conversely,

\[
R_w^* = R_2 \left[ \frac{E_{T_c}^*}{V_w} - 1 - \frac{R_2}{R_F} \right]^{-1} - r_L. \tag{16}
\]

The values of \( E_{T_c}^* \) or \( R_w^* \) may be stored in a look-up table or as a polynomial fit to the calibration curve.

In practice, an experimental procedure may be organized as follows:

1. Measure \( R_w^* \), \( r_L \), and estimate \( LM \) using Eq. (11).
2. Choose \( V_w \) and \( T_c \).
3. Acquire and store the calibration curve using Eq. (15) or (16).
4. Measure \( m_w^{CVA} \) in-situ or in a calibration facility and deduce \( m_w c_w/\chi R_0 \) using Eq. (14).
5. Acquire \( E_{T_c}^* \) in the turbulent flow to be investigated without changing \( T_c \).
6. Process the data by solving first Eq. (1) to obtain \( \bar{R}_w \) and then Eq. (5) to obtain \( \bar{R}_w^* \).

Two examples are considered in order to compare the skewness factors of velocity signals obtained by the present method, i.e., \( \hat{U} \), and those obtained by the best previous method, i.e., \( \tilde{U} \).

The first example is a sinusoidal velocity signal for which a zero skewness is expected. Different amplitudes and different frequencies have been considered. Figure 5 reports the values that have been obtained. Clearly, only the present method permits the zero skewness to be recovered.

The second example deals more realistically with the velocity signals computed by large eddy simulation (LES) in a high-speed jet by Bogey and Bailly.\(^{22}\) Along the jet axis, different downstream distances \( x \) have been chosen, \( x/D = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, \) and 8, where \( D \) is the diameter of the jet nozzle. Thus, different turbulence levels from low to high values may be considered. Every data set contains 15 000 velocity samples and the time interval is 10 \( \mu s \). The heat-transfer law of the wire is approached by \( Nu_d = 0.56Re_d \) and the signal \( E_{T_c}^* \) is constructed from \( E_{T_c} \) by adding a white noise of amplitude 1 mV rms. Results for the turbulence levels and the skewness factors are listed in Table III. Power spectral densities are presented in Fig. 6. Differences in turbulence levels and

\[\text{TABLE III. Turbulence levels and skewness factors along the centerline of a high-speed jet (Ref. 22) obtained by the present method and the best previous one.}\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x/D )</th>
<th>LES</th>
<th>Previous</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>( U )</th>
<th>Previous</th>
<th>Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
<td>-0.115</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>-0.104</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>-0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>-0.134</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
<td>-0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>-0.557</td>
<td>-0.326</td>
<td>-0.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>-0.211</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>-0.219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
spectra are negligible. For the skewness factors, only the values provided by the present method are in good agreement with the LES data.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

The present procedure is much simpler than any of the previous ones and is exact at large-amplitude velocity fluctuations. It is based on the rational equations that govern the wire behavior and the electronic circuit of CV A without simplifications. All equations to be solved are easily implemented on a personal computer when postprocessing experimental data. Even if no differences appear between the previous method and the present one in turbulence levels and spectra, the use of the present method is compulsory when odd moments are to be investigated. The two major assets of the CV A, i.e., a constant bandwidth and an external fast and stable $V_w$ command to correct for temperature drifts in the incident flow, remain valid. The present method has been validated for the case of a single-wire probe and may be easily extended to cross-wire probes and multiple-wire arrays.
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