Investigation of a turbulent channel flow using large eddy simulation
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Large-Eddy Simulations (LES) of a compressible turbulent channel flow at a Mach number of 0.5 and a friction Reynolds number of 260 are performed, using explicit low-dissipation and low-dispersion numerical schemes for spatial derivatives. Eleven simulations are carried out on grids with different mesh spacings in order to study the grid convergence of turbulence statistics, namely mean and fluctuating streamwise velocity profiles. These quantities are found to not vary significantly for mesh spacings smaller than 10 and 30 wall units in the spanwise and streamwise directions, respectively. An additional simulation is performed using a semi-implicit Runge-Kutta algorithm, developed specifically for wall-bounded flows, in which terms involving wall normal derivatives are integrated implicitly, while the other terms are integrated explicitly to relax the CFL constraint in the wall normal direction. The simulations finally provide a numerical database, from which wall pressure and velocity spectra are computed to give insights into the turbulent structures developing in the flow.

1 Introduction

Since the direct numerical simulation (DNS) by Kim et al. [1], the fully turbulent channel flow has been widely studied using computations because this configuration enables universal characteristics of wall turbulence to be studied, while offering a relative simplicity of implementation compared to other canonical wall-bounded flows such as the turbulent boundary layer [2]. However, some intrinsic difficulties of wall bounded flow remain for numerical simulation. The dynamics of the flow is indeed strongly influenced by the dynamics of the small scales developing close to the wall, which exhibit strong anisotropy and complex interaction mechanisms with larger scales. Thus, to properly catch the features of wall bounded flows, simulations must ensure a good resolution of these small scales.

This can be particularly tricky in large eddy simulation (LES), where scales are not taken into account by the grid resolution, and must be treated by a subgrid model. If the small scales mentioned above for wall-bounded flows are smaller than the mesh spaces, their specific behavior cannot be well reproduced, and important errors might occur, even on global features such as the mean velocity profile of the flow. A general assessment of the grid resolution is moreover difficult for LES, since many subgrid models exist, and may have different effects on the resolved scales. For this reason, a grid convergence study is carried out in the present study of a turbulent channel flow, in which the LES strategy relies on the use of a relaxation filter as proposed by Bogey et al. [3].

Well-resolved LESs allow fine investigation of turbulence structures developing near the wall. These structures have been studied for instance by Tomkins & Adrian [4] for boundary layers, and by Jiménez et al. [5] for channel flows. Both authors investigated the scaling of turbulent motions at different distances to the wall, from the inner layer to the logarithmic region. A study based on LES data has also been conducted by Bogey et al., who simulated a tripped nozzle pipe flow [6]. They found that just downstream the nozzle exit, the azimuthal modes of streamwise velocity scale similarly to the spanwise modes in boundary layers [7].

Wall pressure spectra have also been studied in several analytical, experimental and numerical investigations over the last fifty years [8]. Among recent studies, Hu et al. [9] computed wall pressure spectra using DNS for channel flows at various Reynolds numbers, and tested different combinations of scaling variables to exhibit similarities between the different Reynolds number cases.

In the present work, compressible LESs of a turbulent channel flow are performed. The friction Reynolds number \( R_{fe} = h u_f / \nu \) is equal to 260, with \( h \) the half width of the channel, \( \nu \) the molecular viscosity, and \( u_f \) the friction velocity. The Mach number is equal to 0.5. These simulations are used to perform a grid convergence study. Once the quality of grid resolution is assessed, one of the well-resolved simulations is carried on over a longer time period, allowing analysis of fine scales in the near wall region, using power spectra densities of the streamwise velocity and of the wall pressure.

2 Numerical settings

The LESs are performed by solving the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, using low-dissipation and low-dispersion 11-points finite differences for spatial derivatives. Periodic boundary conditions are implemented in the \( x \) (streamwise) and \( z \) (spanwise) directions. In the \( y \) (wall normal) direction, a no-slip boundary condition is imposed. The box dimensions are \( L_x \times L_y \times L_z = 12h \times 2h \times 6h \). The dissipative effects of the subgrid motions are modelled by the use of an explicit filter of order 6, removing the smallest discretised scales, while leaving the well-resolved scales nearly unaffected [3].

The simulations are carried out on Cartesian grids, with constant mesh spacings in the streamwise and spanwise directions. In the wall normal direction, the mesh spacing is stretched with a constant expansion rate \( r \). Grid convergence is carried out by performing simulations on several grids with decreasing mesh spacings in one direction. Two series of grids, referred to as Gdz and Gdx, are used to study the grid convergence in the \( z \) and \( x \) directions, respectively. For grid convergence in the \( y \) direction (Gdy grids), the mesh spacing at the wall \( \Delta y_w \) is decreased, while the expansion rate \( r \) is slightly increased. The numbers of grid points \( n_x, n_y \) and \( n_z \) in the \( x, y \) and \( z \) directions, respectively, vary between the different cases, with \( 87 \leq n_x \leq 257, 85 \leq n_y \leq 161 \) and \( 129 \leq n_z \leq 385 \). Table 1 shows the grid parameters of each of the cases, in wall units. It can be noted that the parameters of Gdx4 and Gdx4 are identical to those of Gdy3, therefore these three cases refer to the same simulation. Parameters from LESs of wall bounded flows with varying Reynolds numbers from Viazzo et al. [10], Gloerfelt [11] and Schlatter et al. [12] are also given for the comparison.

Time integration is performed with the explicit fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme of Berland et al. [13], at a CFL number \( c_{\Delta t}/\Delta y_w = 0.83 \), with \( \Delta t \) the time step and \( c \) the speed of sound. An additional simulation is performed on the Gdy3 grid, using a semi-implicit Runge-Kutta (SIRK) scheme recently developed by the authors [14]. With this scheme, the terms involving derivatives in the wall normal direction are computed implicitly while the other terms are
computed explicitly. Thus, a higher CFL number can be reached. For the present configuration, its value is 8.7, which is ten times higher than the CFL number allowed by the explicit scheme. However, the SIRK scheme involve a quite important CPU time per iteration. Consequently, the semi-implicit simulation is about three times slower than the explicit one, for the presented case. It must be noted that a higher Reynolds number case with finer mesh at the wall should be more favorable to the efficiency of the SIRK scheme.

Table 1: Parameters of the grids used for the grid convergence study; mesh spacings are given in wall units; $\Delta x$, $\Delta z$: mesh spacings in the streamwise and spanwise directions; $\Delta y_w, \Delta y_z$: mesh spacings in the wall normal direction at the wall and at the center of the channel; $r$: stretching rate of the mesh in the wall normal direction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grids</th>
<th>$\Delta x^+$</th>
<th>$\Delta z^+$</th>
<th>$\Delta y^*_w$</th>
<th>$\Delta y^*_z$</th>
<th>$r$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gdy1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdy2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdy3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdy4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdz1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdz2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdz3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdz4 (=Gdy3)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdz5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdx1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdx2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdx3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdx4 (=Gdy3)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viazzo et al. [10]</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>51.84</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloerfelt [11]</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schlatter et al. [12]</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Grid convergence in the $y$ direction: (a) mean, and (b) rms streamwise velocities. $\cdots \cdots$ Gdy1 ($\Delta y^*_w = 3.7$), $\cdots \cdots$ Gdy2 ($\Delta y^*_w = 1.9$), $\cdots \cdots$ Gdy3 ($\Delta y^*_w = 0.95$), $\cdots \cdots$ Gdy4 ($\Delta y^*_w = 0.47$), $\cdots \cdots$ Gdy3 ($\Delta y^*_w = 0.95$) using the semi-implicit time integration scheme (SIRK)

3 Results of the grid convergence study

Profiles of mean and rms streamwise velocities are represented as a function of the distance to the wall. Figure 1 shows the results obtained with the Gdy grids, in which $\Delta y^*_w$ varies. The profiles of the Gdy3 and Gdy4 simulations are seen to collapse, for both mean and rms velocities. Therefore, grid convergence seems to be achieved for $\Delta y^*_w = 0.95$. It can be noticed that both mean and rms velocities are underestimated by the simulations using coarser resolutions. A trend can even be established between the peak rms velocity and the grid resolution: the former increases as the latter is improved, until grid convergence is reached. The velocity profiles of the simulation performed with the SIRK scheme are also plotted. They show good agreement with those from the explicit simulation carried out on the same grid.

The velocity profiles from the Gdz grids, in which $\Delta z^*$ varies, are presented on figure 2. They show that grid convergence is obtained in Gdz3 for $\Delta z^* = 10$. Unlike the previous case, the under-resolved simulations overestimate the mean and rms velocities, although the variations are less important here.

The results of the Gdx grids, in which $\Delta x^*$ varies, are finally plotted on figure 3. The rms velocity profiles of Gdx3 and Gdx4 collapse. Hence, grid convergence is reached for $\Delta x^* = 30$. In the same way as for the Gdz grids, an over-estimation of the mean and rms velocities is observed in the under-resolved cases.

This grid convergence study finally suggests that minimal resolutions of approximately $\Delta x^* = 30$, $\Delta y^* = 1$ and $\Delta z^* = 10$ are necessary to perform a proper simulation with the considered LES approach.

4 Spectral analysis of pressure and velocity fluctuations

4.1 Definition

For spectral analysis, the simulation on the Gdy3 grid is performed further and data are stored over a time period of $T = 38h/U_c$, with $U_c$ the centerline velocity. Added to the time of the grid convergence simulation, this yields a total duration of simulation equal to $T_{total} = 140h/U_c$. Every 40th time step, samples of the pressure at the walls, and of velocity components in wall-parallel planes are collected. The location of these planes are $y/' = 13$ and 78 in wall units, and $y/h = 0.05$ and 0.3 in outer units. The location of the first plane corresponds to that of the maximum rms velocity. Therefore, the database contains time-space samples, noted $q(x_i, y_j, t_n); 1 \leq i \leq n_x; 1 \leq j \leq n_y; 1 \leq n \leq N$, where $N = 1300$ is the number of time samples. The quantity $q$ represents either the wall pressure, or a velocity component in one of the planes where data is collected. For each of these vari-
ables, a three-dimensional spectrum \( \hat{q}(k_x, k_z, \omega) \) is obtained, as a function of streamwise and spanwise wavenumbers \( k_x \) and \( k_z \), and angular frequency \( \omega \). In order to render the spectrum smoother, the database is subdivided into 9 overlapping time segments of length \( N = 260 \) (\( T_s = 7.7h/U_\tau \)). Spectra are then computed on each segment, and averaging over all the spectra gives the final 3-D spectrum. Power spectral densities (PSD) are finally obtained as \( \Phi_{pq} = \hat{q}_p \hat{q}_q^* / (L_x L_z T_s) \).

The frequency range is \( 0.059 \leq \omega^+ = \omega U_\tau / \nu \leq 7.7 \), and the wavenumber ranges are \( 0.002 \leq k_x^+ = k_x U_\tau / \nu \leq 0.26 \) and \( 0.004 \leq k_z^+ = k_z U_\tau / \nu \leq 0.51 \).

### 4.2 Wall pressure spectra

The wall pressure frequency spectrum \( \Phi_{pp} \), shown on figure 4, is obtained by integration of the 3-D spectrum over \( k_x \) and \( k_z \). The axes are in logarithmic scales, and coordinates are given in wall units. The spectrum has in a num-

![Figure 2: Grid convergence in the z direction: (a) mean, and (b) rms streamwise velocities.](image)

![Figure 3: Grid convergence in the x direction: (a) mean, and (b) rms streamwise velocities.](image)

sharper for \( \omega^+ \approx 1 \), which can be attributed to the dissipative effect of the relaxation filter of the LES, whose cut-off wavenumber is \( k_x \approx 2\pi/(4\Delta x) \) in the streamwise direction. Assuming Taylor hypothesis of frozen turbulence convected at a speed roughly equal to \( 0.5U_\tau \), the non-dimensional cut-off angular frequency is equal to \( \omega U_\tau / \nu \approx 1.2 \), which corresponds well to the frequency at which a strong decrease is observed in the figure.

Finally, a narrow peak can be seen at a frequency \( \omega^+ = 0.71 \), which is very close to the frequency \( f_0 = c/(2h) \). A wavenumber-frequency spectrum (not shown here, for the sake of conciseness) reveals that this peak is located at wavenumbers equal to zero in the streamwise and spanwise directions, meaning that it is caused by a phenomenon which has infinite size in the homogeneous directions of the flow. Therefore, it can be stated that this peak is due to the resonance of an acoustic mode propagating perpendicularly to the wall.

### 4.3 Velocity spectra and spanwise structures

Figure 5 shows the power spectral densities of the three components of velocity in the plane located at \( y^+ = 13 \), as functions of the spanwise wavenumber, scaled by the boundary layer thickness \( \delta = 0.9h \). The axes are in logarithmic scales. At low frequencies, the levels found in the spectrum for the wall-normal velocity are around two orders of magnitude lower than that for the spanwise velocity. The latter is one order of magnitude lower than that for the streamwise
velocity over the whole range of wavenumbers. These differences indicate the strong anisotropy of the velocity fluctuations in the near-wall region. The spectrum of the streamwise velocity spreads over a large range of wavenumbers, but the maximum is found at $k_\delta \delta \approx 8$. A peak is found also for the wall normal velocity component, around $k_\delta \delta \approx 16$. This spatial arrangement is a typical feature of the near-wall streaks, which consist in regions of high and low streamwise velocity elongated in the streamwise direction. These structures are arranged regularly in the spanwise direction, giving the observed peak in the spanwise spectrum. The streaks are accompanied by streamwise vortices, whose spanwise separation is twice smaller than that of the streaks. These vortices induce the peak visible in the spectrum of the wall normal velocity.

It can be noticed that the spanwise separation of the streaks is slightly higher than the size of 100 wall units usually observed in the literature [15]. Indeed, the peak at $k_\delta \delta \approx 8$ observed for the streamwise velocity corresponds to a wavelength $\lambda^* = 180$. A similar shift has been noted by Tomkins & Adrian [4] in boundary layers at $Re_{0} = 426$, with the most energetic scales ranging over $200 \leq \lambda^*_x \leq 400$ at $y^+ = 21$.

Finally, a two dimensional spectrum of the streamwise velocity at $y^+ = 13$ is shown on figure 7 as a function of the spanwise and streamwise wavenumbers given in wall units. It is clearly visible that the energy is concentrated in the low streamwise wavenumbers, suggesting an important elongation of the streaks in the streamwise direction. As previously, dominant components are found for $0.008 \leq k_\delta^* \lambda^*_x \leq 0.04$, corresponding to $150 \leq \lambda^*_x \approx 780$. The separation of $\lambda^*_x = 100$ usually observed for the streaks corresponds to $k_\delta^* = 0.063$. Energetic components can be noticed for this value of wavenumber, suggesting that streaks with a spanwise separation of 100 wall units are present, but that they are less energetic than the dominant ones. It can also be remarked that for this value of $k_\delta^*$, the spectrum is spread over larger values of $k_\delta^*$. 

5 Conclusion

A grid convergence study is performed to evaluate the minimal resolution necessary to obtain a well resolved LES for a turbulent channel flow at $Re_{0} = 260$. It is found that relatively small mesh spacings are necessary, namely $\Delta x^+ = 30$, $\Delta y^+ = 1$ and $\Delta z^+ = 10$, which however remain higher than those of a DNS resolution. One of the well resolved simulations is used to compute spectral data on which further ana-
lyses are carried out. The wall pressure frequency spectrum is consistent with that provided by Hu et al. [9]. Near wall streaks are studied using spanwise spectra of velocity components, which show that the streaks have a spanwise separation of roughly 180 wall units, supporting the observations of Tomkins & Adrian [4]. Further from the wall, the turbulent structures exhibit quite high separations, around $\lambda_z \approx 2\delta$.

As a concluding remark, the well resolved LES shows the ability to produce reliable results, for a lower computational cost compared to DNS. The resolution of DNS for wall-bounded flow is indeed about twice as high in each direction, resulting in a number of grid points 8 times higher than that of LES. Assuming the use of the same time integration scheme, computational time is then 16 times longer.
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